

Stormwater Management Report

CCC – Hudson, OH

750 W Streetsboro St, Hudson, OH 44236

Date Prepared: March 14, 2025 Revised:

On behalf of: Christ Community Chapel

Contact:

Prepared By: Hannah Okes Address: 4601 Park Road, Suite 650 Email: Hannah.okes@cesoinc.com Phone: 234-349-2514 Date: 03/14/2025

Engineer of Record:

Engineer of Record: Jonathan Kocinski, P.E.



Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Existing Conditions	1
Proposed Conditions	2
Stormwater Quality	2
Summary	4

APPENDICES

- A. Existing Conditions Calculations
- B. Proposed Conditions Calculations
 B1. Stormwater Quality Calculations
 B2. Stormwater Quantity Calculations
- C. Stormwater Pipe Calculations
- D. USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey
- E. Drainage Area Maps
 - E1. Existing Conditions Drainage Area Map
 - E2. Proposed Conditions Drainage Area Map
 - E3. Tributary Drainage Area Map





Introduction

This report covers the methodology and calculations used in the design of the stormwater management system for the proposed turf field and seating/stage building addition at the existing Chris Community Chapel campus in Hudson, Ohio.

The stormwater management system is designed in accordance with Chapter 1419 of the City of Hudson's Codified Ordinances. Section 5 of Chapter 1419 has requirements for stormwater quality, stormwater quantity, and major flood path.

- The major flood path is met by directing all runoff towards the proposed detention basin.
- The stormwater quality requirements can be met by adding the water quality volume to the volume of the proposed detention basin and meeting the minimum drawdown time of 48 hours.
- The stormwater quantity requirements for the field's construction and seating/stage area can be met by the proposed detention basin. According to the City of Hudson Stormwater Code, the 25year peak rate of runoff in the post-developed condition shall not exceed the 2-year peak rate of runoff in the existing condition. The 100-year post developed condition must also be reduced to the 10-yr peak rate of runoff in the existing condition.

Storm routings for this project were performed using HydroCAD. Time of Concentration was determined by using the TR-55 method, within HydroCAD.

The onsite soils were obtained from USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey and can be found in **Appendix D**.

The storm pipe network was designed using Hydraflow Stormsewers Extension for Autodesk Civil. Section 4 of Chapter 4 of the City of Hudson's Codified Ordinances requires that the pipes be sized so that the HGL does not exceed the crown of the pipe for the 10-year storm. Refer to **Appendix C** for the Storm Pipe Calculations and **Appendix E3** for the associated Tributary Drainage area Map.

Existing Conditions

The site is a church on 30.07 acres that has frontage along West Streetsboro St to the north and Terex Road to the west. The site consists of a chapel, parking lot, open field, and stormwater management area. Residential properties border the site to the east and to the south. Existing runoff flows to the stormwater management area via a combination of overland flow and an existing storm sewer system.

The existing runoff consists of one (1) major existing drainage areas as listed below:

• EDA-WEST - This drainage area drains to the north, towards an existing stormwater management area. The Soil Survey indicates this site to have Caneadea Silt Loam, Ellsworth-Urban Land Complex, Geeburg Silt Loam, Sebring Silt Loam, each soil being Hydric Group 'D' type soil. For hydrologic soil group 'D' soils we assumed CN values of 98 for impervious areas and 84 for grass areas in good conditions.



Existing Conditions Peak Runoff Rates								
Drainage Area	1-year Storm	2-year Storm	5-year Storm	10-year Storm	25-year Storm	50-year Storm	100-year Storm	
Alca	Storm	310111	5101111	Storm	Storm	5101111	5101111	
EDA-WEST	5.42 CFS	7.38 CFS	10.22 CFS	12.67 CFS	16.25 CFS	19.29 CFS	22.52 CFS	

Peak runoff rates from the existing conditions of the site are listed in the following table:

Refer to **Appendix A** for the Existing Conditions Calculations. The Existing Conditions Drainage Area Map can be found in **Appendix E1**.

Proposed Conditions

The proposed development of the site will consist of the construction of a 2,410 SF building, a 38,000 SF turf soccer field, associated site improvements and a stormwater management system. The stormwater management system consists of (Describe the proposed system including the OCS) an extended detention basin, a gravel area with a 6" underdrain, an outlet control structure, and an emergency spillway. The extended detention basin in conjunction with the outlet control structure have been designed to address the water quality and water quantity requirements. The outflow from the stormwater management system will be routed through the outlet structure and directed into the existing storm water management system on site.

The proposed improvements will create one (1) major drainage areas and one (1) detention node

- DA-WEST This drainage area drains to stormwater management basin.
- POND Proposed stormwater management basin, which discharges into the existing storm water management system on site.

For hydrologic soil group 'D' soils we assumed CN values of 98 for impervious areas and 84 for grass areas in good conditions.

Stormwater Quality

To satisfy the water quality requirements, Ohio's water quality BMP Compliance Worksheet and Water Quality Calculator were used. The water quality volume was calculated and was incorporated into the detention pond design. A water quality orifice was included to satisfy the requirements, as well as a window on the outlet control structure at the water quality elevation. The orifice has been designed to meet the required minimum drawdown time of 48 hours.

Therefore, the stormwater quality requirements have been satisfied. Refer to **Appendix B1** for Stormwater Quality Calculations.



Stormwater Quantity

The resulting proposed conditions peak runoff rates are listed in the following table:

Proposed Conditions Peak Runoff Rates									
Drainage	1-year	2-year	5-year	10-year	25-year	50-year	100-year		
Area	Storm	Storm	Storm	Storm	Storm	Storm	Storm		
PDA-WEST	7.07 CFS	9.08 CFS	12.01 CFS	14.49 CFS	18.07 CFS	21.08 CFS	24.27 CFS		

The discharge characteristics for the proposed stormwater management basin are listed in the following table:

	Basin								
Storm	Peak	Water surface							
Storm	Discharge	Elevation							
1-year	2.29 CFS	1010.60 FT							
2-year	3.68 CFS	1010.81 FT							
5-year	5.13 CFS	1011.14 FT							
10-year	6.06 CFS	1011.43 FT							
25-year	7.25 CFS	1011.80 FT							
50-year	9.47 CFS	1012.02 FT							
100-year	11.56 CFS	1012.21 FT							

The runoff of the 25-year storm event has been designed to be lower than the existing runoff of the 2-year storm event, as has the 100-year event to the existing 10-year storm event based upon the requirements set forth by the City of Hudson's codified ordinances. Refer to **Appendix B2** for storm calculations.

A summary of the existing conditions peak runoff rates, the allowable peak runoff rates and the proposed conditions peak runoff rates are listed in the following table:

Runoff Reduction Summary								
Storm	Existing	Allowable	Proposed					
1-year	5.42 CFS	-	2.29 CFS					
2-year	7.38 CFS	-	3.68 CFS					
5-year	10.22 CFS	-	5.13 CFS					
10-year	12.67 CFS	-	6.06 CFS					
25-year	16.25 CFS	7.38 CFS	7.25 CFS					
50-year	19.29 CFS	-	9.47 CFS					
100-year	22.52 CFS	12.67 CFS	11.56 CFS					

Refer to **Appendix B3** for the Stormwater Quantity Calculations. The Proposed Conditions Drainage Area Map can be found in **Appendix E2**.



Summary

The proposed stormwater management system has been successfully designed to manage the increased runoff from associated improvements of the project. The stormwater management system has been designed in accordance with the appropriate regulations, as demonstrated in the previous tables and accompanying calculations.



APPENDIX A: EXISTING CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS



765295 - HYDROCAD

Prepared by CESO, Inc HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 11958 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Rainfall Events Listing

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	1-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	2.04	2
2	2-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	2.44	2
3	5-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	3.02	2
4	10-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	3.51	2
5	25-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	4.22	2
6	50-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	4.82	2
7	100-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	5.46	2

Runoff = 5.42 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= 14,389 cf, Depth> 0.92"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 1-yr Rainfall=2.04"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
1	33,947	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D							
	52,925	98	Paved park	vaved parking, HSG D						
1	86,872	88	Weighted A	verage						
1	33,947		71.68% Pei	rvious Area						
	52,925		28.32% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
Тс	l enath	Slone	Velocity	Canacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)						
11.0	150	0.0470	0.23		Sheet Flow,					
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"					
1.3	122	0.0470	1.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps					
12.3	272	Total								



Runoff 7.38 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 19,275 cf, Depth> 1.24" =

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=2.44"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
1	33,947	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D							
	52,925	98	Paved park	Paved parking, HSG D						
1	86,872	88	Neighted A	verage						
1	33,947		71.68% Pei	rvious Area						
	52,925	:	28.32% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cts)						
11.0	150	0.0470	0.23		Sheet Flow,					
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"					
1.3	122	0.0470	1.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps					
12.3	272	Total								



Runoff = 10.22 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 26,723 cf, Depth> 1.72"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 5-yr Rainfall=3.02"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
1	33,947	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D							
	52,925	98	Paved park	Paved parking, HSG D						
1	86,872	88	Neighted A	verage						
1	33,947		71.68% Pei	rvious Area						
	52,925	:	28.32% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
_				_						
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)						
11.0	150	0.0470	0.23		Sheet Flow,					
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"					
1.3	122	0.0470	1.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps					
12.3	272	Total								



Runoff = 12.67 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 33,245 cf, Depth> 2.13"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 10-yr Rainfall=3.51"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
1	33,947	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D							
	52,925	98	Paved park	Paved parking, HSG D						
1	86,872	88	Neighted A	verage						
1	33,947		71.68% Pei	rvious Area						
	52,925	:	28.32% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cts)						
11.0	150	0.0470	0.23		Sheet Flow,					
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"					
1.3	122	0.0470	1.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps					
12.3	272	Total								



Runoff = 16.25 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 42,943 cf, Depth> 2.76"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 25-yr Rainfall=4.22"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
1	133,947	84	50-75% Gra	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D						
	52,925	98	Paved park	vaved parking, HSG D						
1	186,872	88	Weighted A	verage						
1	133,947		71.68% Pe	rvious Area						
	52,925		28.32% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
Тс	l enath	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description					
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)						
11.0	150	0.0470	0.23		Sheet Flow,					
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"					
1.3	122	0.0470) 1.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps					
12.3	272	Total								



Runoff = 19.29 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 51,299 cf, Depth> 3.29"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 50-yr Rainfall=4.82"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description						
1	33,947	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D						
	52,925	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D					
1	86,872	88	Weighted A	verage					
1	33,947		71.68% Pei	rvious Area					
	52,925		28.32% Imp	pervious Are	ea				
_				_					
Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description				
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
11.0	150	0.0470	0.23		Sheet Flow,				
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"				
1.3	122	0.0470) 1.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,				
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps				
12.3	272	Total							



Runoff = 22.52 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 60,326 cf, Depth> 3.87"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 100-yr Rainfall=5.46"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description							
1	133,947	84	50-75% Gra	0-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D						
	52,925	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D						
1	186,872	88	Weighted A	verage						
1	133,947		71.68% Pe	rvious Area						
	52,925		28.32% Imp	pervious Ar	ea					
_										
Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description					
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)						
11.0	150	0.0470	0.23		Sheet Flow,					
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"					
1.3	122	0.0470) 1.52		Shallow Concentrated Flow,					
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps					
12.3	272	Total								





APPENDIX B: PROPOSED CONDITIONS CALCULATIONS



765295 - HYDROCAD

Prepared by CESO, Inc HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 11958 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Rainfall Events Listing

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	1-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	2.04	2
2	2-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	2.44	2
3	5-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	3.02	2
4	10-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	3.51	2
5	25-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	4.22	2
6	50-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	4.82	2
7	100-yr	OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1	2-yr	Default	24.00	1	5.46	2

Runoff = 7.07 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 18,522 cf, Depth> 1.19" Routed to Pond 4 : POND

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 1-yr Rainfall=2.04"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.	881	95	Perm	neable Tur	f Field, HS	G D
	1.	615	84	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG D
	1.	788	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG D	
	4.	284	92	Weid	ahted Aver	ade	
	2.	496		58.2	, 6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.	788		41.74	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
	Тс	Length	n 8	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.9	43	3 0.	.0050	0.07		Sheet Flow.
							Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"
	1.9	300) ().	.0050	2.63	0.52	Pipe Channel,
							6.0" Round Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13'
							n= 0.010
	0.5	200) ().	.0100	6.84	8.40	Pipe Channel,
							15.0" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
							n= 0.010
	12.3	543	3 To	otal			

765295 - HYDROCAD

Prepared by CESO, Inc HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 11958 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Summary for Pond 4: POND

Inflow Area = 186,611 sf, 41.74% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 1.19" for 1-yr event Inflow = 7.07 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 18,522 cf 2.29 cfs (a) 12.49 hrs, Volume= 2.29 cfs (a) 12.49 hrs, Volume= Outflow 11,918 cf, Atten= 68%, Lag= 22.2 min = Primary = 11,918 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L Secondary = 0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs, Volume= 0 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,010.60' @ 12.49 hrs Surf.Area= 7,178 sf Storage= 9,225 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 122.3 min calculated for 11,918 cf (64% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 60.3 min (840.4 - 780.1)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage		ge Storage Descr	Storage Description					
#1	1,008.00'	4	41,222	cf Custom Stage	e Data (Prismatic	Listed below (Recalc)				
Elevatio	n Sı	urf.Area	Voids	Inc.Store	Cum.Store					
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(%)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)					
1,008.0	0	2,848	0.0	0	0					
1,009.0	0	2,848	40.0	1,139	1,139					
1,010.0	0	5,595	100.0	4,222	5,361					
1,011.0	0	8,212	100.0	6,904	12,264					
1,012.0	0	10,887	100.0	9,550	21,814					
1,013.0	0	13,618	100.0	12,253	34,066					
1,013.5	0	15,004	100.0	7,156	41,222					
Device	Routing	In	vert (Dutlet Devices						
#1	Device 4	1,008.00'		.2" Vert. Water Qu	ality Orifice C=	0.600				
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads					
#2	Secondary	1,013	.00' 1	0.0' long + 3.0 '/'	SideZ x 4.0' brea	dth Spillway				
			F	lead (feet) 0.20 0.	40 0.60 0.80 1.0	0 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00				
			2	2.50 3.00 3.50 4.0	0 4.50 5.00 5.50					
			(Coef. (English) 2.38	3 2.54 2.69 2.68	2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66				
			2	2.68 2.72 2.73 2.7	6 2.79 2.88 3.07	3.32				
#3	Device 4	1,012	.50' 2	?7.5" x 27.5" Horiz	. Rim C= 0.600	Limited to weir flow at low heads				
#4	Primary	1,008	.00' 1	8.0" Vert. Outlet	C= 0.600 Limited	d to weir flow at low heads				
#5	Device 4	1,011	.75' 4	8.0" W x 4.0" H Ve	ert. Window C= (0.600				
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads					
#6	Device 4	1,010	.18' 3	30.0" W x 6.0" H Ve	ert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600				
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads					

Primary OutFlow Max=2.28 cfs @ 12.49 hrs HW=1,010.60' (Free Discharge) **4=Outlet** (Passes 2.28 cfs of 11.59 cfs potential flow)

-1=Water Quality Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.06 cfs @ 7.70 fps)

-3=Rim (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Window (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-6=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.22 cfs @ 2.09 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs HW=1,008.00' (Free Discharge) 2=Spillway (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 4: POND

9.08 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 23,902 cf, Depth> 1.54" Runoff = Routed to Pond 4 : POND

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=2.44"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.	881	95	Pern	neable Tur	f Field, HS	GD
	1.	615	84	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG D
	1.	788	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG D	
	4.	284	92	Weid	phted Aver	ade	
	2.	496		58.2	6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.	788		41.7	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
	Тс	Lenat	h :	Slope	Velocitv	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
_	9.9	4;	3 0	.0050	0.07		Sheet Flow.
							Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"
	1.9	300	0 C	.0050	2.63	0.52	Pipe Channel.
							6.0" Round Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13'
							n= 0.010
	0.5	200	0 C	.0100	6.84	8.40	Pipe Channel.
							15.0" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
							n= 0.010
	12.3	543	3 Т	otal			

765295 - HYDROCAD Prepared by CESO, Inc

Hydrograph Runoff 10-9.08 OH-Summit County_Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 9-Rainfall=2.44" Runoff Area=4.284 ac 8-Runoff Volume=23,902 cf Runoff Depth>1.54" 7 Flow Length=543' Tc=12.3 min 6-CN=92 Flow (cfs) 5-4-3-2-1-0-2 3 5 7 13 14 15 4 6 8 ġ 10 12 16 17 18 19 20 1 11 Time (hours)

Summary for Pond 4: POND

Inflow Area	a =	186,611 sf,	41.74% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth > 2	1.54"	for 2-yr	⁻ event	
Inflow	=	9.08 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume=	23,902 cf				
Outflow	=	3.68 cfs @	12.39 hrs,	Volume=	17,239 cf,	Atten	= 59%,	Lag= 16.1 mir	n
Primary	=	3.68 cfs @	12.39 hrs,	Volume=	17,239 cf			-	
Routed	to none	xistent node	5L						
Secondary	/ =	0.00 cfs @	1.00 hrs,	Volume=	0 cf				
Routed	to none	xistent node	5L						

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,010.81'@ 12.39 hrs Surf.Area= 7,704 sf Storage= 10,720 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 105.6 min calculated for 17,194 cf (72% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 49.8 min (824.8 - 775.0)

Volume	Invert	Avai	I.Stora	ge Storage Descr	iption	
#1	1,008.00'	41,22		cf Custom Stage	e Data (Prismatic)	Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatio	n Sı	urf.Area	Voids	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(%)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
1,008.0	0	2,848	0.0	0	0	
1,009.0	0	2,848	40.0	1,139	1,139	
1,010.0	0	5,595	100.0	4,222	5,361	
1,011.0	0	8,212	100.0	6,904	12,264	
1,012.0	0	10,887	100.0	9,550	21,814	
1,013.0	0	13,618	100.0	12,253	34,066	
1,013.5	0	15,004	100.0	7,156	41,222	
Device	Routing	In	vert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Device 4	1,008.00'		1.2" Vert. Water Qu	uality Orifice C= (0.600
			I	_imited to weir flow	at low heads	
#2	Secondary	1,013	.00'	10.0' long + 3.0 '/' 3	SideZ x 4.0' brea	dth Spillway
			I	Head (feet) 0.20 0.	40 0.60 0.80 1.0	0 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			:	2.50 3.00 3.50 4.0	0 4.50 5.00 5.50	
			(Coef. (English) 2.38	3 2.54 2.69 2.68	2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66
			:	2.68 2.72 2.73 2.7	6 2.79 2.88 3.07	3.32
#3	Device 4	1,012	.50' 2	27.5" x 27.5" Horiz	. Rim C= 0.600 I	Limited to weir flow at low heads
#4	Primary	1,008	.00'	18.0" Vert. Outlet	C= 0.600 Limited	to weir flow at low heads
#5	Device 4	1,011	.75'	48.0" W x 4.0" H Ve	ert. Window C= 0	.600
			I	_imited to weir flow	at low heads	
#6	Device 4	1,010	.18' :	30.0" W x 6.0" H Ve	ert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600
				_imited to weir flow	at low heads	

765295 - HYDROCAD Prepared by CESO, Inc

Primary OutFlow Max=3.67 cfs @ 12.39 hrs HW=1,010.80' (Free Discharge) **4=Outlet** (Passes 3.67 cfs of 12.20 cfs potential flow)

-1=Water Quality Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.06 cfs @ 7.99 fps)

-3=Rim (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Window (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-6=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 3.61 cfs @ 2.89 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs HW=1,008.00' (Free Discharge) 2=Spillway (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 4: POND

Runoff = 12.01 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 31,916 cf, Depth> 2.05" Routed to Pond 4 : POND

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 5-yr Rainfall=3.02"

_	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.	881	95	Perm	neable Tur	f Field, HS	G D
	1.	615	84	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG D
	1.	788	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG D	
	4.	284	92	Weid	ahted Aver	ade	
	2.	496		58.2	, 6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.	788		41.74	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
					I		
	Тс	Lengtl	า :	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·
	9.9	43	3 0	.0050	0.07		Sheet Flow.
							Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"
	1.9	300	0 0	.0050	2.63	0.52	Pipe Channel,
							6.0" Round Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13'
							n= 0.010
	0.5	200	0 0	.0100	6.84	8.40	Pipe Channel,
							15.0" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
							n= 0.010
	12.3	543	3 T	otal			

765295 - HYDROCAD

Prepared by CESO, Inc HydroCAD® 10.20-5c s/n 11958 © 2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Page 12



Summary for Pond 4: POND

Inflow Area = 186,611 sf, 41.74% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.05" for 5-yr event Inflow = 12.01 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 31,916 cf 5.13 cfs @ 12.37 hrs, Volume= 5.13 cfs @ 12.37 hrs, Volume= Outflow 25,168 cf, Atten= 57%, Lag= 14.8 min = Primary = 25,168 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L Secondary = 0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs, Volume= 0 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,011.14' @ 12.37 hrs Surf.Area= 8,598 sf Storage= 13,476 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 94.4 min calculated for 25,102 cf (79% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 44.8 min (813.8 - 768.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage		ge Storage Descr	Storage Description					
#1	1,008.00'	4	41,222	cf Custom Stage	e Data (Prismatic	Listed below (Recalc)				
Elevatio	n Sı	urf.Area	Voids	Inc.Store	Cum.Store					
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(%)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)					
1,008.0	0	2,848	0.0	0	0					
1,009.0	0	2,848	40.0	1,139	1,139					
1,010.0	0	5,595	100.0	4,222	5,361					
1,011.0	0	8,212	100.0	6,904	12,264					
1,012.0	0	10,887	100.0	9,550	21,814					
1,013.0	0	13,618	100.0	12,253	34,066					
1,013.5	0	15,004	100.0	7,156	41,222					
Device	Routing	In	vert (Dutlet Devices						
#1	Device 4	1,008.00'		.2" Vert. Water Qu	ality Orifice C=	0.600				
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads					
#2	Secondary	1,013	.00' 1	0.0' long + 3.0 '/'	SideZ x 4.0' brea	dth Spillway				
			F	lead (feet) 0.20 0.	40 0.60 0.80 1.0	0 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00				
			2	2.50 3.00 3.50 4.0	0 4.50 5.00 5.50					
			(Coef. (English) 2.38	3 2.54 2.69 2.68	2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66				
			2	2.68 2.72 2.73 2.7	6 2.79 2.88 3.07	3.32				
#3	Device 4	1,012	.50' 2	?7.5" x 27.5" Horiz	. Rim C= 0.600	Limited to weir flow at low heads				
#4	Primary	1,008	.00' 1	8.0" Vert. Outlet	C= 0.600 Limited	d to weir flow at low heads				
#5	Device 4	1,011	.75' 4	8.0" W x 4.0" H Ve	ert. Window C= (0.600				
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads					
#6	Device 4	1,010	.18' 3	30.0" W x 6.0" H Ve	ert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600				
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads					

Primary OutFlow Max=5.12 cfs @ 12.37 hrs HW=1,011.14' (Free Discharge) **4=Outlet** (Passes 5.12 cfs of 13.16 cfs potential flow)

-1=Water Quality Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.07 cfs @ 8.47 fps)

-3=Rim (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Window (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-6=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 5.05 cfs @ 4.04 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs HW=1,008.00' (Free Discharge) 2=Spillway (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 4: POND

Runoff = 14.49 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 38,815 cf, Depth> 2.50" Routed to Pond 4 : POND

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 10-yr Rainfall=3.51"

_	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.	881	95	Perm	neable Tur	f Field, HS	G D
	1.	615	84	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG D
	1.	788	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG D	
	4.	284	92	Weid	ahted Aver	ade	
	2.	496		58.2	, 6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.	788		41.74	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
					I		
	Тс	Lengtl	า :	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·
	9.9	43	3 0	.0050	0.07		Sheet Flow.
							Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"
	1.9	300	0 0	.0050	2.63	0.52	Pipe Channel,
							6.0" Round Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13'
							n= 0.010
	0.5	200	0 0	.0100	6.84	8.40	Pipe Channel,
							15.0" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
							n= 0.010
	12.3	543	3 T	otal			

765295 - HYDROCAD Prepared by CESO, Inc



Summary for Pond 4: POND

186,611 sf, 41.74% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 2.50" for 10-yr event Inflow Area = Inflow = 14.49 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 38,815 cf 6.06 cfs @ 12.37 hrs, Volume= 6.06 cfs @ 12.37 hrs, Volume= Outflow 31,997 cf, Atten= 58%, Lag= 14.9 min = Primary = 31,997 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L Secondary = 0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs, Volume= 0 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,011.43' @ 12.37 hrs Surf.Area= 9,354 sf Storage= 16,012 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 89.2 min calculated for 31,913 cf (82% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 44.1 min (808.9 - 764.8)

Volume	Invert	Avai	I.Stora	age Storage Descr	iption	
#1	1,008.00'	41,222		2 cf Custom Stage	e Data (Prismatic	Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatio	n Si	urf.Area	Void	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(%) (cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
1,008.0	0	2,848	0.0) 0	0	
1,009.0	0	2,848	40.0) 1,139	1,139	
1,010.0	0	5,595	100.0) 4,222	5,361	
1,011.0	0	8,212	100.0) 6,904	12,264	
1,012.0	0	10,887	100.0) 9,550	21,814	
1,013.0	0	13,618	100.0) 12,253	34,066	
1,013.5	60	15,004	100.0) 7,156	41,222	
Device	Routing	In	vert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Device 4	1,008	.00'	1.2" Vert. Water Qu	uality Orifice C=	0.600
				Limited to weir flow	at low heads	
#2	Secondary	1,013	.00'	10.0' long + 3.0 '/'	SideZ x 4.0' brea	adth Spillway
				Head (feet) 0.20 0.	.40 0.60 0.80 1.0	00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
				2.50 3.00 3.50 4.0	0 4.50 5.00 5.50)
				Coef. (English) 2.3	8 2.54 2.69 2.68	2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66
				2.68 2.72 2.73 2.7	6 2.79 2.88 3.07	7 3.32
#3	Device 4	1,012	.50'	27.5" x 27.5" Horiz	. Rim C= 0.600	Limited to weir flow at low heads
#4	Primary	1,008	.00'	18.0" Vert. Outlet	C= 0.600 Limite	d to weir flow at low heads
#5	Device 4	1,011	.75	48.0" W X 4.0" H V	ert. Window C=	0.600
40	Davias 1	1 0 1 0	401		at low neads	C- 0 600
#0	Device 4	1,010	. Ið	JU.U VV X D.U H V	ert. Urifice/Grate	C- 0.000
					at low neads	

Primary OutFlow Max=6.05 cfs @ 12.37 hrs HW=1,011.42' (Free Discharge) **4=Outlet** (Passes 6.05 cfs of 13.91 cfs potential flow)

-1=Water Quality Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.07 cfs @ 8.84 fps)

-3=Rim (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Window (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-6=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 5.99 cfs @ 4.79 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs HW=1,008.00' (Free Discharge) 2=Spillway (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 4: POND

Runoff = 18.07 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 48,945 cf, Depth> 3.15" Routed to Pond 4 : POND

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 25-yr Rainfall=4.22"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.	881	95	Pern	neable Tur	f Field, HS	G D
	1.	615	84	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG D
	1.	788	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG D	
	4.	284	92	Weid	ahted Aver	ade	
	2.	496		58.2	, 6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.	788		41.7	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
					1		
	Тс	Lengtl	n :	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	•
	9.9	43	3 0	.0050	0.07		Sheet Flow.
							Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"
	1.9	300	0 0	.0050	2.63	0.52	Pipe Channel,
							6.0" Round Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13'
							n= 0.010
	0.5	200	0 0	.0100	6.84	8.40	Pipe Channel,
							15.0" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
							n= 0.010
	12.3	543	3 T	otal			

765295 - HYDROCAD Prepared by CESO, Inc



Summary for Pond 4: POND

Inflow Area = 186,611 sf, 41.74% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.15" for 25-yr event Inflow = 18.07 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 48,945 cf 7.25 cfs @ 12.38 hrs, Volume= 7.25 cfs @ 12.38 hrs, Volume= Outflow 42,047 cf, Atten= 60%, Lag= 15.5 min = Primary = 42,047 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L Secondary = 0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs, Volume= 0 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,011.80' @ 12.38 hrs Surf.Area= 10,350 sf Storage= 19,684 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 85.4 min calculated for 42,047 cf (86% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 44.9 min (804.7 - 759.8)

Volume Inve		Avai	I.Stora	ge Storage Descr	Storage Description						
#1	1,008.00'	2	41,222	cf Custom Stage	e Data (Prismatic)	Listed below (Recalc)					
Elevatio	n Sı	urf.Area	Voids	Inc.Store	Cum.Store						
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(%)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)						
1,008.0	0	2,848	0.0	0	0						
1,009.0	0	2,848	40.0	1,139	1,139						
1,010.0	0	5,595	100.0	4,222	5,361						
1,011.0	0	8,212	100.0	6,904	12,264						
1,012.0	0	10,887	100.0	9,550	21,814						
1,013.0	0	13,618	100.0	12,253	34,066						
1,013.5	0	15,004	100.0	7,156	41,222						
Device	Routing	In	vert (Outlet Devices							
#1	Device 4	1,008	.00' ′	1.2" Vert. Water Qu	uality Orifice C=	0.600					
			l	_imited to weir flow	at low heads						
#2	Secondary	1,013	.00' ′	10.0' long + 3.0 '/' 3	SideZ x 4.0' brea	dth Spillway					
			I	Head (feet) 0.20 0.	40 0.60 0.80 1.0	0 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00					
				2.50 3.00 3.50 4.0	0 4.50 5.00 5.50						
			(Coef. (English) 2.38	3 2.54 2.69 2.68	2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66					
				2.68 2.72 2.73 2.7	6 2.79 2.88 3.07	3.32					
#3	Device 4	1,012	.50' 2	27.5" x 27.5" Horiz	. Rim C= 0.600	Limited to weir flow at low heads					
#4	Primary	1,008	.00' '	18.0" Vert. Outlet	C= 0.600 Limited	d to weir flow at low heads					
#5	Device 4	1,011	.75' 4	48.0" W x 4.0" H Ve	ert. Window C= (0.600					
			l	_imited to weir flow	at low heads	a					
#6	Device 4	1,010	.18'	30.0" W x 6.0" H Ve	ert. Orifice/Grate	C = 0.600					
			l	_imited to weir flow a	at low heads						

Primary OutFlow Max=7.23 cfs @ 12.38 hrs HW=1,011.80' (Free Discharge)

4=Outlet (Passes 7.23 cfs of 14.85 cfs potential flow)

-1=Water Quality Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.07 cfs @ 9.32 fps)

-3=Rim (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Window (Orifice Controls 0.13 cfs @ 0.70 fps)

-6=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 7.03 cfs @ 5.62 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs HW=1,008.00' (Free Discharge) **2=Spillway** (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 4: POND

Secondary

Runoff = 21.08 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 57,588 cf, Depth> 3.70" Routed to Pond 4 : POND

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 50-yr Rainfall=4.82"

_	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.	881	95	Perm	neable Tur	f Field, HS	G D
	1.	615	84	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG D
	1.	788	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG D	
	4.	284	92	Weid	ahted Aver	ade	
	2.	496		58.2	, 6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.	788		41.74	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
					I		
	Тс	Lengtl	า :	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·
	9.9	43	3 0	.0050	0.07		Sheet Flow.
							Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"
	1.9	300	0 0	.0050	2.63	0.52	Pipe Channel,
							6.0" Round Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13'
							n= 0.010
	0.5	200	0 0	.0100	6.84	8.40	Pipe Channel,
							15.0" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
							n= 0.010
	12.3	543	3 T	otal			

765295 - HYDROCAD Prepared by CESO, Inc



Summary for Pond 4: POND

186,611 sf, 41.74% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 3.70" for 50-yr event Inflow Area = Inflow = 21.08 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 57,588 cf 9.47 cfs @ 12.34 hrs, Volume= 9.47 cfs @ 12.34 hrs, Volume= Outflow 50,631 cf, Atten= 55%, Lag= 13.6 min = Primary = 50,631 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L Secondary = 0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs, Volume= 0 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,012.02' @ 12.34 hrs Surf.Area= 10,943 sf Storage= 22,038 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 81.6 min calculated for 50,631 cf (88% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 44.7 min (800.9 - 756.3)

Volume	Invert	Invert Avail.Stor		ge Storage Descr	ge Storage Description						
#1	1,008.00'		41,222	cf Custom Stage	e Data (Prismatic)	Listed below (Recalc)					
Elevatio	n Sı	urf.Area	Voids	Inc.Store	Cum.Store						
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(%)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)						
1,008.0	0	2,848	0.0	0	0						
1,009.0	0	2,848	40.0	1,139	1,139						
1,010.0	0	5,595	100.0	4,222	5,361						
1,011.0	0	8,212	100.0	6,904	12,264						
1,012.0	0	10,887	100.0	9,550	21,814						
1,013.0	0	13,618	100.0	12,253	34,066						
1,013.5	0	15,004	100.0	7,156	41,222						
Device	Routing	In	vert	Outlet Devices							
#1	Device 4	1,008	.00'	1.2" Vert. Water Qu	uality Orifice C= (0.600					
				_imited to weir flow	at low heads						
#2	Secondary	1,013	.00'	10.0' long + 3.0 '/' 3	SideZ x 4.0' bread	dth Spillway					
	-			Head (feet) 0.20 0.	40 0.60 0.80 1.0	0 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00					
				2.50 3.00 3.50 4.0	0 4.50 5.00 5.50						
				Coef. (English) 2.38	8 2.54 2.69 2.68	2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66					
			:	2.68 2.72 2.73 2.7	6 2.79 2.88 3.07	3.32					
#3	Device 4	1,012	2.50' 2	27.5" x 27.5" Horiz	. Rim C= 0.600 I	Limited to weir flow at low heads					
#4	Primary	1,008	3.00'	18.0" Vert. Outlet	C= 0.600 Limited	to weir flow at low heads					
#5	Device 4	1,011	.75'	48.0" W x 4.0" H Ve	ert. Window C= 0	0.600					
				_imited to weir flow	at low heads						
#6	Device 4	1,010	.18' 3	30.0" W x 6.0" H Ve	ert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600					
				_imited to weir flow	at low heads						

Primary OutFlow Max=9.45 cfs @ 12.34 hrs HW=1,012.02' (Free Discharge)

4=Outlet (Passes 9.45 cfs of 15.38 cfs potential flow)

-1=Water Quality Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.08 cfs @ 9.59 fps)

-5=Window (Orifice Controls 1.79 cfs @ 1.67 fps)

-6=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 7.58 cfs @ 6.06 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs HW=1,008.00' (Free Discharge) -2=Spillway (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 4: POND

Runoff = 24.27 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 66,865 cf, Depth> 4.30" Routed to Pond 4 : POND

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs OH-Summit County Hudson 24-hr S1 2-yr 100-yr Rainfall=5.46"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	cription		
*	0.	881	95	Pern	neable Tur	f Field, HS	G D
	1.	615	84	50-7	5% Grass	cover, Fair	, HSG D
	1.	788	98	Pave	ed parking	, HSG D	
	4.	284	92	Weid	ahted Aver	ade	
	2.	496		58.2	, 6% Pervio	us Area	
	1.	788		41.7	4% Imperv	/ious Area	
					1		
	Тс	Lengtl	n :	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·
	9.9	43	3 0	.0050	0.07		Sheet Flow.
							Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 2.44"
	1.9	300	0 0	.0050	2.63	0.52	Pipe Channel,
							6.0" Round Area= 0.2 sf Perim= 1.6' r= 0.13'
							n= 0.010
	0.5	200	0 0	.0100	6.84	8.40	Pipe Channel,
							15.0" Round Area= 1.2 sf Perim= 3.9' r= 0.31'
							n= 0.010
	12.3	543	3 T	otal			

765295 - HYDROCAD Prepared by CESO, Inc



Summary for Pond 4: POND

186,611 sf, 41.74% Impervious, Inflow Depth > 4.30" for 100-yr event Inflow Area = Inflow = 24.27 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 66,865 cf 11.56 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= 11.56 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= Outflow 59,846 cf, Atten= 52%, Lag= 12.4 min = Primary = 59,846 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L Secondary = 0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs, Volume= 0 cf Routed to nonexistent node 5L

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 1.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 1,012.21'@ 12.32 hrs Surf.Area= 11,472 sf Storage= 24,210 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 77.2 min calculated for 59,689 cf (89% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 44.0 min (797.0 - 753.1)

Volume	Invert	Invert Avail.Stora		ge Storage Descr	e Storage Description							
#1	1,008.00'	4	41,222	cf Custom Stage	e Data (Prismatic	Listed below (Recalc)						
Elevatio	n Sı	urf.Area	Voids	Inc.Store	Cum.Store							
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(%)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)							
1,008.0	0	2,848	0.0	0	0							
1,009.0	0	2,848	40.0	1,139	1,139							
1,010.0	0	5,595	100.0	4,222	5,361							
1,011.0	0	8,212	100.0	6,904	12,264							
1,012.0	0	10,887	100.0	9,550	21,814							
1,013.0	0	13,618	100.0	12,253	34,066							
1,013.5	0	15,004	100.0	7,156	41,222							
Device	Routing	In	vert (Dutlet Devices								
#1	Device 4	1,008	.00' 1	.2" Vert. Water Qu	ality Orifice C=	0.600						
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads							
#2	Secondary	1,013	.00' 1	0.0' long + 3.0 '/'	SideZ x 4.0' brea	dth Spillway						
			F	lead (feet) 0.20 0.	40 0.60 0.80 1.0	0 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00						
			2	2.50 3.00 3.50 4.0	0 4.50 5.00 5.50							
			(Coef. (English) 2.38	3 2.54 2.69 2.68	2.67 2.67 2.65 2.66 2.66						
			2	2.68 2.72 2.73 2.7	6 2.79 2.88 3.07	3.32						
#3	Device 4	1,012	.50' 2	?7.5" x 27.5" Horiz	. Rim C= 0.600	Limited to weir flow at low heads						
#4	Primary	1,008	.00' 1	8.0" Vert. Outlet	C= 0.600 Limited	d to weir flow at low heads						
#5	Device 4	1,011	.75' 4	8.0" W x 4.0" H Ve	ert. Window C= (0.600						
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads							
#6	Device 4	1,010	.18' 3	30.0" W x 6.0" H Ve	ert. Orifice/Grate	C= 0.600						
			L	imited to weir flow	at low heads							

Primary OutFlow Max=11.52 cfs @ 12.32 hrs HW=1,012.21' (Free Discharge)

4=Outlet (Passes 11.52 cfs of 15.82 cfs potential flow)

-1=Water Quality Orifice (Orifice Controls 0.08 cfs @ 9.82 fps)

-3=Rim (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-5=Window (Orifice Controls 3.42 cfs @ 2.57 fps)

-6=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 8.02 cfs @ 6.42 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 1.00 hrs HW=1,008.00' (Free Discharge) 2=Spillway (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond 4: POND



APPENDIX B1: STORMWATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS



Ston 2 Basin Stage Storage Belationship				
Step 5 - Basili Stage-Storage Relationship			Incromontal	Cumulativa
	Elovation	Aroa	Volumo	Volumo
	Lievation	Alea c.2	volume	volume
	ft	ft	ft	ft
Bottom of Permanent Micropool =	1008.00	2,848		
(include forebay area if below EDv)	1009.00	2,848	2,848	2,848
	1010.00	5,701	4,193	7,041
	1011.00	8,321	6,970	14,011
	1012.00	13,732	10,914	24,925







Time (hr)



APPENDIX B2: STORMWATER QUANTITY CALCULATIONS

Critical Storm Calculations												
Project Name	Christian Community Chapel											
Project Location	Hudson, OH	Project Number	765295									
Designed By	Tommy Pillow	Date:	2/6/25									
Checked By		Date:										

1 Yr-24 Hour Storm (P): 2.08 Inches

Present

Soil Type	Soil Group	Description	CN	Area (SF)	Area (Acre)	s	la	Q (In/hr)	Volume (CF)
Canfield-Urban (CeC)	D	Open Space - Good	84	133,947	3.08	1.90	0.38	0.80	8,941.31
		Impervious	98	52,925	1.21	0.20	0.04	1.85	8,175.45
		Total	88	186,872.00	4.29	1.37	0.27	1.03	16,006.53

Developed

Soil Type	Soil Group	Description	CN	Area (SF)	Area (Acre)	S	la	Q (In/hr)	Volume (CF)
Canfield-Urban (CeC)	D	Open Space - Good	84	77,363	1.78	1.90	0.38	0.80	5,164.18
			95	38,333.00	0.88	0.53	0.11	1.56	4,980.66
		Impervious	98	69,696.00	1.60	0.20	0.04	1.85	10,766.11
		Tota	92	185,392.00	4.26	0.92	0.18	1.27	19,678.50

Existing Runoff	16,006.53	CF
Developed Runoff	19,678.50	CF
Percent Increase in Runoff	23	%
Critial Year Storm	5	year

Percent Increa	ase in Runoff	Critical Storm					
Equal to or greater	and less than	Childai Stoffi					
-	10	1	year				
10	20	2	year				
20	50	5	year				
50	100	10	year				
100	250	25	year				
250	500	50	year				
500	-	100	year				



APPENDIX C: STORMWATER PIPE CALCULATIONS

MyReport

Line No.	Line ID	Line Length	Line Size	Line Slope	Drng Area	Total Area	Flow Rate	Capac Full	Invert Dn	Invert Up	HGL Dn	Gnd/Rim El Dn	HGL Up	Gnd/Rim El Up	Junct Type	Inlet Depth	Vel Ave	Cover Up	Тс	
		(ft)	(in)	(%)	(ac)	(ac)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)		(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft)	(min)	
1	35	27.854	18	1.80	0.54	1.70	3.07	14.07	1009.50	1010.00	1010.17	1011.71	1010.67 j	1014.25	Comb.	0.18	4.04	2.75	7.2	
2	104	56.693	15	0.88	0.06	1.16	2.40	6.06	1010.00	1010.50	1010.67	1014.25	1011.12 j	1014.25	Comb.	0.10	3.78	2.50	6.8	
3	24	55.426	15	0.90	0.06	1.10	2.34	6.13	1010.50	1011.00	1011.12	1014.25	1011.61 j	1014.25	Comb.	0.10	3.89	2.00	6.3	
4	25	52.202	15	0.96	0.57	0.84	1.86	6.32	1011.00	1011.50	1011.61	1014.25	1012.04 j	1015.46	Comb.	0.18	3.39	2.71	5.7	
5	26	19.903	12	1.26	0.05	0.27	1.07	3.99	1011.50	1011.75	1012.04	1015.46	1012.19 j	1016.15	Comb.	0.07	2.86	3.40	5.5	
6	114	85.000	8	1.18	0.22	0.22	1.04	1.42	1011.75	1012.75	1012.19	1016.15	1013.23	1013.94	Comb.	0.21	4.06	0.52	5.0	
7	107	54.079	6	1.39	0.20	0.20	0.43	0.72	1011.25	1012.00	1011.61	1014.25	1012.34 j	1014.97	Comb.	0.14	2.98	2.47	5.0	
8	27	28.738	18	1.74	0.10	1.61	7.87	13.85	1010.00	1010.50	1011.09	1012.71	1011.59 j	1014.37	Comb.	0.10	5.73	2.37	5.9	
9	28	169.250	18	0.89	0.20	1.51	7.93	9.89	1010.50	1012.00	1011.59	1014.37	1013.09	1017.00	Comb.	0.14	5.77	3.50	5.3	
10	116	108.292	15	2.63	1.31	1.31	7.59	10.48	1012.00	1014.85	1013.09	1017.00	1015.94	0.00	Grate	0.30	6.67	n/a	5.0	
Project File: stm.stm												umber of line	s: 10		Date:	2/6/2025	i			
NOTE	S: ** Crit	ical depth														<u> </u>				



APPENDIX D: USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey





USDA

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Са	Canadice silty clay loam	0.2	0.5%
СсВ	Caneadea silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	15.1	46.9%
CoC2	Chili gravelly loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, moderately eroded	2.2	6.9%
EuC	Ellsworth-Urban land complex, 6 to 18 percent slopes	2.3	7.2%
GbC2	Geeburg silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, moderately eroded	6.2	19.3%
GbD2	Geeburg silt loam, 12 to 18 percent slopes, moderately eroded	0.5	1.6%
Mn	Mahoning-Urban land complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	2.9	9.0%
Sb	Sebring silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.6	1.9%
WrB	Wheeling silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	2.2	6.7%
Totals for Area of Interest		32.2	100.0%



APPENDIX E: DRAINAGE AREA MAPS



APPENDIX E1: EXISTING CONDITIONS DRAINAGE AREA MAP









APPENDIX E2: PROPOSED CONDITIONS DRAINAGE AREA MAP







GRAPHIC SCALE (IN FEET) 1 in. = 60 ft.

LOT

#45: HOUS

LANDS AREA

#460 HOUSI

LOT 9



APPENDIX E3: TRIBUTARY DRAINAGE AREA MAP



TRIB

\tommy.pillow\DC\ACCDocs\CESO\CCC - Hudson - Civil Master Plan Study\Project Files_CESO\03-CIVIL\DATA\STM\765295 - TRIBUTARY MAP.dwg - 3/14/2025 - Tommy Pillow



April 9, 2025

Community Development ATTN: Nick Sugar 1140 Terex Road Hudson, OH 44236

RE: Christ Community Chapel - Hudson, OH - Field Addition

To Whom it May Concern:

In response to a comment received from Nick Sugar on April 7, 2025, CESO has the following response to Stormwater Management/Drainage/Erosion Control, Section 1207.07.d.(1), of the City's Regulations:

1207.07 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT/DRAINAGE/EROSION CONTROL.

(d) Additional Regulations.

(1) In addition to the requirements of the Engineering Standards for Infrastructure Construction, the following additional regulations shall be adhered to:

A. Retention/detention basins. Developers constructing detention basins are encouraged to design them for use as neighborhood open space and recreation components and to consider designs based on Summit County Soil and Water Conservation District recommendations or guidelines from the Center for Watershed Protection. Adequate signage declaring the intended use of the basin shall be conspicuously displayed along with appropriate warnings about storms. All such signs shall be approved by the City.

B. To the maximum extent feasible, the applicant's stormwater management plan shall include the following non-structural control techniques. Where the applicant proposes the use of detention/retention facilities, he must first utilize one or more of the following runoff reduction measures. The applicant shall provide a written justification of the utilization of the following in calculating storage capacities of the detention/retention facilities:

1. Areas undisturbed (cleared) by construction; The areas being disturbed by this project are within the previously developed area of the Church as part of the original construction activities.

2. Restriction of development on steep slopes; The proposed Stormwater management area needs to fit within the confines of the existing basin and proposed soccer field. The basin was proposed with stabilized erosion control blankets and was designed to fit in the constraints of existing site to the maximum extents feasible.

3. Maintenance of vegetation buffers; The proposed stormwater management practice is draining into an existing stormwater management basin. Due to the proposed stormwater elevations and to maximize stormwater quality and detention volumes the existing brush will need to be removed along the south (site side) of the basin.

4. Minimization of impervious surfaces and use of pervious surfaces; The artificial turf field is more pervious than the existing lawn area by draining to a stone and underdrain system. The additional stormwater management areas help control higher flows through the system and meet required water quality volume.

C. Use of terraces, contoured landscapes, tiered pond systems, runoff spreaders, grass or rock-lined waterways; and/or The additional stormwater management, while not necessarily a tiered pond system, provides an additional BMP practice upstream of the existing basin with a gravel lined bottom, which helps control runoff and sediment storage prior to draining to the existing stormwater basin.

D. Use of infiltration trenches. The bottom of the basin provides a stone channel and underdrain maximizing the potential for infiltration. The soils on site do not appear conducive to infiltration practices, however, an attempt was made to promote infiltration.

If you have any additional questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at (440) 668-2307 or kocinski@cesoinc.com.

Respectfully,

Sprathun Forinski

Jonathan Kocinski, PE