

City of Hudson, Ohio

Meeting Minutes - Draft Architectural & Historic Board of Review

John Caputo, Chair Allyn Marzulla, Vice Chair John Workley, Secretary John Funyak Francoise Massardier-Kenney William Ray Karl Wetzel

Nicholas Sugar, City Planner Amanda Krickovich, Associate Planner

Wednesday, August 9, 2023

7:30 PM

Town Hall 27 East Main Street

I. Call To Order

Chair Caputo called to order the regularly scheduled meeting of the Architectural & Historic Board of Review of the City of Hudson at 7:30 p.m., in accordance with the Sunshine Laws of the State of Ohio, O.R.C. Section 121.22.

II. Roll Call

Present: 4 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak, Ms. Kenney and Mr. Ray

Absent: 3 - Ms. Marzulla, Mr. Wetzel and Mr. Workley

III. Public Comment

Chair Caputo opened the meeting to public comments for anyone wanting to address the Board. There were no comments.

IV. Consent Applications

There were no items on the Consent Agenda.

V. Old Business

There was no Old Business.

VI. New Business

B. AHBR 23-703 72 Village Way, Suite 1A

Sign (Hairboss University)

Attachments: 72 Village Way AHBR Packet

Ms. Krickovich introduced the application by displaying the building, describing the proposed sign and sign revision and reviewing the staff comments.

Mr. Joe Berdine, Signarama, stated he has contacted the owner regarding using the sign with the address.

The Board, staff and applicant discussed the proposed sign revision, the potential discoloring of the existing sign location on the building, and placing the bottom sign higher on the building.

Ms. Kenney made a motion, seconded by Mr. Funyak, to approve the revised proposed sign (two signs), wth the space above and below the two signs the same. The motion was approved by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak, Ms. Kenney and Mr. Ray

C. AHBR 23-743 1306 Meadowood Lane

Alteration (Pool House) Accessory Structure

Attachments: 1306 Meadowood Ln AHBR Packet

The applicant was not present for the meeting.

Ms. Krickovich introduced the application by displaying the site plan, displaying the elevation, describing the accessory structure, and reviewing the staff comments.

The Board discussed the need for fenestration.

Mr. Ray made a motion, seconded by Ms. Kenney, to approve as submitted provided the fenestration rules are complied with as approved by staff. The motion was approved by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak, Ms. Kenney and Mr. Ray

D. <u>AHBR 23-730</u> 69 W. Streetsboro Street (Citizens Bank)

Exterior Alteration (Window and Door Infill)

<u>Attachments:</u> Citizens Bank AHBR Packet

Mr. Sugar introduced the application by displaying elevations, and describing the project including the removal of doors and a window. Mr. Sugar also reviewed the staff comments.

Ms. Carrie Love, HLS Architecture, noted infilling the doors and windows with brick could present problems since the sill heights are not all at the same height.

The Board, applicant and staff discussed the width between fenestrations.

Mr. Ray made a motion, seconded by Mr. Funyak, to infill the two sliding doors and install a false window to replace the existing window on the side of the building. The motion was approved by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak, Ms. Kenney and Mr. Ray

E. AHBR 23-708 11 Atterbury Blvd

Awning (Brew Kettle)

Attachments: 11 Atterbury AHBR Packet

Ms. Krickovich introduced the application by describing the project and proposed materials, displaying photos of the building, and reviewing the staff comments.

Mr. Jeff Osland, Bright Covers, described the freestanding 1364 square foot awning with six-inch posts and the owner's willingness to correct any drainage issues.

The Board, staff and applicant discussed: The suitability of polycarbonate in this high visibility location, the existing awnings being different from the proposed, that polycarbonate allows light penetration, that canvas is not appropriate for this application, that the Board would like to see a sample of the polycarbonate, and the concern of setting a precedent by allowing polycarbonate for this application.

The applicant requested the application be tabled.

This matter was continued

F. AHBR 23-665 2262 Weir Drive

New House (2629sf Two Story Single Family)

Attachments: 2262 Weir Dr. AHBR Packet

Ms. Krickovich introduced the application by displaying the proposed elevations, and reviewing the staff comments.

Mr. Joe Bailey, Camden Builders, was present for the meeting.

The Board, staff and applicant discussed: Consistency regarding the window grids, the distance between the windows on the side of the house, and that the foundation be consistent around the house.

Mr. Ray made a motion, seconded by Mr. Funyak, to approve as submitted with no grids on the rear windows and to spread the side windows to better align, as approved by staff. The motion was approved by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak, Ms. Kenney and Mr. Ray

VII. Other Business

A. AHBR 23-684 7322 Arborwood Drive (Informal)

Addition (352sf Garage Expansion Submitted by Len Huddleston

- a) The Architectural Design Standards state the front face of the main body must sit forward at least 18" from the front face of the wings. Staff notes the existing garage is forward of the main body and the applicant is proposing to extend the existing garage by 16 feet.
- b) The Architectural Design Standards require the materials used in any mass to be applied consistently on that mass on all sides of the structure. Staff notes the applicant is proposing board and batten siding on the front elevation that is not consistently applied to all sides of the mass.
- c) Staff notes the Land Development Code requires side loading attached garages to be 25 feet from the side property line. The applicant is proposing to be approximately 22 feet from the property line. The applicant would need to revise the site plan to show the 25 feet or pursue a variance request through the Board of Zoning and Building Appeals.
- d) Staff notes this case went to the AHBR on August 9, 2023. The Board requested the applicant provide documentation of the factors of a practical difficulty on the property. The applicant has provided this documentation. The AHBR can use these factors in determining if a special exception should be granted.

Attachments: 7322 Arborwood Dr. AHBR Packet

Ms. Krickovich introduced the application by displaying the site plan, reviewing the Architectural Design Standards, and reviewing the staff comments.

Mr. Len Huddleston, applicant, was present for the meeting.

The Board, applicant and staff discussed the following reasons which might justify the addition being more forward of the main mass: The depth of the wooded lot, many other houses in the neighborhood have forward projecting garages, and it will allow another vehicle in the garage. The applicant stated the board and batten in question will be corrected. The Board and applicant noted the proposed plan meets the site practical difficulty criterion in the following ways: The neighborhood will not be burdened if the addition is allowed, that other feasible options are not practical, and the size of the addition is in keeping with the neighborhood.

The Board agreed that the proposed addition fits with the neighborhood, however, care must be taken not to set a precedent which would allow others with less compelling reasons to request the same variance. Mr. Sugar noted three members of the Board are not present and with the addition of those three, the vote could go against approval and that the criterion could be reviewed in greater detail at the next AHBR meeting. Staff also noted there is not a known survey on file.

B. <u>AHBR 23-741</u> 144 Hudson Street (Historic District)

Addition (972sf Mater Bedroom and Great Room)

Submitted by Chris Lachman

- a) Staff notes this project went before the AHBR as an informal review on August 9, 2023.
- b) The applicant has submitted material cut sheets for the addition. The Architectural Design Standards state all chimneys must match the foundation material. Additionally, the Architectural Design Standards state additions should be designed to be compatible with the main structure by incorporating materials and foundation to match.
- c) Staff notes the existing foundation is brick and the existing chimney is painted brick. The applicant is proposing the new chimney to be a stone veneer material. Recommend both chimneys be the same material to meet this requirement.

Attachments: 144 Hudson St. AHBR Packet 8.23.23

Ms. Krickovich displayed elevations for a 972 square foot rear addition.

Ms. Chris Lachman, applicant, described the existing elevations and proposed plans.

The Board, staff and applicant discussed the windows, a site visit, this house's lack of historic relevance even though it is in the historic district, and the general opinion of the Board that the plan is good.

C. AHBR-6785 Working group recommendation for AHBR Consultant.

Attachments: Perspectus RFP

Chair Caputo noted two firms were interviewed for the Historic Consultant position.

Ms. Kenney made a motion, seconded by Mr. Ray, that Perspectus be retained as the AHBR historic consultant. The motion was approved by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak, Ms. Kenney and Mr. Ray

D. <u>AHBR 7-12-23 Minutes of Previous Architectural & Historic Board of Review Meeting:</u> July 12, 2023.

Attachments: AHBR Minutes July 12, 2023 - Draft

A motion was made by Mr. Funyak, seconded by Mr. Ray, that the July 12, 2023, Minutes be approved as amended. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 3 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak and Mr. Ray

Abstain: 1 - Ms. Kenney

E. <u>AHBR 7-26-23 Minutes of Previous Architectural & Historic Board of Review Meeting:</u> July 26, 2023.

Attachments: AHBR Minutes July 26, 2023 - Draft

A motion was made by Ms. Kenney, seconded by Mr. Ray, that the July 26, 2023, Minutes be approved as submitted. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: 4 - Mr. Caputo, Mr. Funyak, Ms. Kenney and Mr. Ray

VIII. Staff Update

Ms. Krickovich informed the Board the next AHBR meeting will take place at City Hall on Terex Road.

The Board discussed notification of upcoming Board meetings and how exceptions may or may not be permitted and the possibility of requesting applicants 'present the case' for being granted an exception.

IX. Adjournment

John Caputo, Chair	
William Day Based Marshau	
William Ray, Board Member	
Ioo Camphall Evacutiva Assistant	

Upon approval by the Architectural & Historic Board of Review, this official written summary of the meeting minutes shall become a permanent record, and the official minutes shall also consist of a permanent audio and video recording, excluding executive sessions, in accordance with Codified Ordinances, Section 252.04, Minutes of Architectural and Historic Board of Review, Board of Zoning and Building Appeals, and Planning Commission. A motion was made by Mr. Funyak, seconded by Mr. Ray, that the meeting be adjourned at 9:06 p.m.. The motion carried by an unanimous vote.

* * *